

**HAMBURGER BEITRÄGE  
ZUR MATHEMATIK**

Heft 558

**The nonexistence of universal metric flows**

S. Geschke

Version August 2015

# THE NONEXISTENCE OF UNIVERSAL METRIC FLOWS

STEFAN GESCHKE

**ABSTRACT.** We consider dynamical systems of the form  $(X, f)$  where  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is either a continuous map or a homeomorphism. Answering a question by Will Brian we show that there is no universal metric abstract  $\omega$ -limit set. The same is true for metric minimal dynamical systems and for metric dynamical systems in general.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We call a compact space  $X$  with a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  an  $\mathbb{N}$ -flow. If  $f$  is a homeomorphism, then the pair  $(X, f)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow.  $X$  is the *phase space* of the flow  $(X, f)$ . If  $(X, f)$  is a  $G$ -flow for  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , then the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is given by the map

$$G \times X \rightarrow X; (n, x) \mapsto f^n(x).$$

The  $G$ -orbit of  $x \in X$  is the set  $\{f^n(x) : n \in G\}$ .

Given two  $G$ -flows  $(X, f)$  and  $(Y, g)$  for  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$ , a map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  is *equivariant* if  $h \circ f = g \circ h$ . Two  $G$ -flows are *isomorphic* if there is an equivariant homeomorphism of their phase spaces. A  $G$ -flow  $(Y, g)$  is a *factor* of a  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  if there is a continuous equivariant surjection  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a class of  $G$ -flows, then a  $G$ -flow  $(X, f) \in \mathcal{C}$  is *universal* (in  $\mathcal{C}$ ) if every  $(Y, g) \in \mathcal{C}$  is a factor of  $(X, f)$ .

It is clear that there are no universal objects in the class of all  $G$ -flows, simply because there are arbitrarily large phase spaces of  $G$ -flows. We investigate what happens if we restrict our attention to metric  $G$ -flows.

A  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  is *minimal* if  $X$  has no proper closed subsets that are  $G$ -flows with respect to the restriction of  $f$ . It is well known that there are universal minimal  $G$ -flows [3]. However, the phase space of a universal minimal  $G$ -flow for  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $G = \mathbb{N}$  is homeomorphic to an infinite subspace of the Čech-Stone compactification of the integers and hence not metrizable.

A third class of flows that we look at is the class of *abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets*.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For a  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  and  $x \in X$  let

$$\omega(x) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \text{cl}\{f^m(x) : m \geq n\}$$

be the  *$\omega$ -limit set* of  $x$ .

A  $G$ -flow is an *abstract  $\omega$ -limit set* if it is isomorphic to the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point in some  $G$ -flow.

The  $\omega$ -limit set of a point in a  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  is a nonempty closed subset of the phase space  $X$  that is also a  $G$ -flow. It follows that every minimal flow is the  $\omega$ -limit set of each of its points.

We show that for  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$  the classes of metric minimal  $G$ -flows, metric abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets and metric  $G$ -flows do not have universal elements.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC FLOWS

In [1], Anderson showed that for  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$  every metric  $G$ -flow is a factor of a  $G$ -flow whose phase space is the Cantor space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Anderson also observed that every minimal  $G$ -flow with a metric phase space is a factor of a minimal  $G$ -flow on  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

An analog of this is true for abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Every metric abstract  $\omega$ -limit set is a factor of a metric  $\omega$ -limit set whose phase space is zero-dimensional.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, f)$  be an abstract  $\omega$ -limit set. Bowen's proof of his characterization of abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets in [2] actually shows that  $(X, f)$  is isomorphic to the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point  $y$  in a  $G$ -flow whose phase space is a subset of  $X \times [0, 1]$ . In particular,  $(X, f)$  is isomorphic to the  $\omega$ -limit set of a point  $y$  in a metric  $G$ -flow  $(Y, g)$ .

By Anderson's result mentioned above, the  $G$ -flow  $(Y, g)$  is a factor of a  $G$ -flow  $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, h)$ . Let  $p : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous surjection witnessing this fact and let  $z \in p^{-1}(y)$ . It is easily checked that  $p$  is a continuous equivariant map from  $\omega(z)$  onto  $\omega(y)$ . Hence  $(X, f)$  is a factor of the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $z$ .  $\square$

This shows that if there are universal elements in the class of metric  $G$ -flows, minimal metric  $G$ -flows, or metric abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets, then there are zero-dimensional ones.

Via Stone duality we can investigate  $G$ -flows with a zero-dimensional phase space by studying Boolean algebras and their endomorphisms, respectively automorphisms.

If  $(X, f)$  is a  $G$ -flow and  $X$  is zero-dimensional, then its *dual* is the Boolean algebra  $\text{Clop}(X)$  of clopen subsets of  $X$  together with the endomorphism

$$f^* : \text{Clop}(X) \rightarrow \text{Clop}(X); a \mapsto f^{-1}[a].$$

The endomorphism  $f^*$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Clop}(X)$  iff  $f$  is a homeomorphism.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be an endomorphism of  $A$ . The pair  $(A, f)$  is a *Boolean algebraic  $\mathbb{N}$ -flow* (*Ba  $\mathbb{N}$ -flow*). If  $f$  is an automorphism of  $A$ , then  $(A, f)$  is a *Boolean algebraic  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow* (*Ba  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow*).

The structure preserving maps between Ba  $G$ -flows are equivariant Boolean homomorphisms and we call two Ba  $G$ -flows *isomorphic* if there is an equivariant isomorphism between them.

If  $A$  is a Boolean algebra with an endomorphism  $f$ , then the space  $\text{Ult}(A)$  of ultrafilters of  $A$  is a compact zero-dimensional space and the Stone dual

$$f^* : \text{Ult}(A) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(A); p \mapsto f^{-1}(p)$$

is a continuous map.  $f^*$  is a homeomorphism iff  $f$  is an automorphism of  $A$ . The  $G$ -flow  $(\text{Ult}(A), f^*)$  is the *dual* of the Ba  $G$ -flow  $(A, f)$ .

Taking the double dual of a zero-dimensional  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  yields an isomorphic  $G$ -flow.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$ . If  $(A, f)$  is a Ba  $G$ -flow and  $a \in A$ , then by  $\langle a \rangle_G$  we denote the smallest subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $a \in B$  and  $(B, f \upharpoonright B)$  is a Ba  $G$ -flow. The Boolean algebra  $\langle a \rangle_G$  is the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by the  $G$ -orbit of  $a$ .

Given two Ba  $G$ -flows  $(A, f)$  and  $(B, g)$  and elements  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we call the triples  $(A, f, a)$  and  $(B, g, b)$  *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism between  $(A, f)$  and  $(B, g)$  that maps  $a$  to  $b$ .

Given a Ba  $G$ -flow  $(A, f)$  and  $a \in A$ , the *type* of  $a$  is the isomorphism type of the triple  $(\langle a \rangle_G, f \upharpoonright \langle a \rangle_G, a)$ .

If  $(A, f)$  is a Ba  $\mathbb{N}$ -flow and  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal that is closed under  $f$ , then  $f$  induces an endomorphism  $f/I$  of the quotient  $A/I$ . If  $(A, f)$  is a Ba  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow and  $I \subseteq A$  is an ideal that is closed under  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$ , then  $f$  induces an automorphism  $f/I$  of the quotient  $A/I$ . On the other hand, the kernel of an  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism from a Ba  $G$ -flow  $(A, f)$  to a Ba  $G$ -flow  $(B, g)$  is an ideal that is closed under  $f$  if  $G = \mathbb{N}$  and closed under  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  if  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For  $G \in \{\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}\}$  let  $\text{Fr}(G)$  be the free Boolean algebra over the set  $\{g_n : n \in G\}$  of generators. We assume that the  $g_n$  are pairwise distinct. Let  $s_G : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(G)$  be the Boolean homomorphism extending the map  $g_n \mapsto g_{n+1}$ .

Clearly,  $s_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is an automorphism of  $\text{Fr}(\mathbb{Z})$  and hence  $(\text{Fr}(\mathbb{Z}), s_{\mathbb{Z}})$  is a Ba  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flow. Also,  $(\text{Fr}(\mathbb{N}), s_{\mathbb{N}})$  is a Ba  $\mathbb{N}$ -flow.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $(A, f)$  be a BA  $G$ -flow for  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $a \in A$ . Then there is a unique Boolean homomorphism  $\pi : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow A$  such that  $\pi(g_0) = a$  and  $\pi(s_G(b)) = f(\pi(b))$  for all  $b \in \text{Fr}(G)$ .

*Proof.* There is a unique Boolean homomorphism  $\pi : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow A$  such that for all  $n \in G$ ,  $\pi(g_n) = f^n(a)$ . It is clear that  $\pi$  is as desired.

On the other hand, every Boolean homomorphism  $\pi : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow A$  with  $\pi(g_0) = a$  and  $\pi(s_G(b)) = f(\pi(b))$  for all  $b \in \text{Fr}(G)$  satisfies  $\pi(g_n) = f^n(a)$  for all  $n \in G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $(A, f)$  and  $(B, g)$  be Ba  $G$ -flows for  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $b \in B$ . Suppose that  $A = \langle a \rangle_G$  and  $B = \langle b \rangle_G$ . Let  $\pi_A : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow A$  and  $\pi_B : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow B$  be the unique equivariant homomorphisms with  $\pi_A(g_0) = a$  and  $\pi_B(g_0) = b$ . Then  $a$  and  $b$  have the same type iff the ideals  $\pi_A^{-1}(0)$  and  $\pi_B^{-1}(0)$  are identical.

*Proof.* If  $\pi_A^{-1}(0) = \pi_B^{-1}(0)$ , then  $(A, f, a)$  and  $(B, g, b)$  are isomorphic since both triples are isomorphic to the quotient  $\text{Fr}(G)/\pi_A^{-1}(0)$  with the endomorphism induced by  $s_G$  and the distinguished element  $g_0/\pi_A^{-1}(0)$ . Note that if  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , then the endomorphism induced by  $s_G$  on  $\text{Fr}(G)/\pi_A^{-1}(0)$  is actually an automorphism.

If  $a$  and  $b$  are of the same type, then there is an equivariant isomorphism  $\iota : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\iota(a) = b$ . Now  $\iota \circ \pi_A = \pi_B$ . Since  $\iota$  is an isomorphism,  $\pi_B^{-1}(0) = \pi_A^{-1}(\iota^{-1}(0)) = \pi_A^{-1}(0)$ .  $\square$

### 3. SYMBOLIC DYNAMICS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . On the space  $\{0, 1\}^G$  we consider the shift  $S_G : \{0, 1\}^G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^G$  which is defined by letting  $S_G(x) : G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the map satisfying  $S_G(x)(n) = x(n+1)$  for all  $n \in G$ . Clearly,  $S_{\mathbb{Z}} : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a homeomorphism and  $S_{\mathbb{N}} : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a continuous map.

Note that the shift  $S_G$  on  $\{0, 1\}^G$  is (isomorphic to) the Stone dual of the shift  $s_G$  on  $\text{Fr}(G)$ .

Our theorem on the nonexistence of universal metric flows will follow from the fact that  $(\{0, 1\}^G, S_G)$  has many minimal *subshifts*, i.e., closed subsets that are minimal  $G$ -flows with respect to the restriction of  $S_G$ . One way of constructing continuum many minimal subshifts is to consider *Sturmian subshifts*. All the facts about Sturmian subshifts that we use can be found in [4].

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . A *Sturmian word* is a bi-infinite word  $x \in \{0, 1\}^G$  such that there are two real numbers, the *slope*  $\alpha$  and the *intercept*  $\rho$ , with  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  irrational, such that for all  $i \in G$  we have

$$x(i) = 1 \iff (\rho + i \cdot \alpha) \bmod 1 \in [0, \alpha).$$

In the context of  $\mathbb{N}$ -flows, we consider Sturmian words in  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and when we talk about  $\mathbb{Z}$ -flows, we consider Sturmian words in  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

It is well known that the orbit closure  $C_x = \text{cl}\{s_G^n(x) : n \in G\}$  of a Sturmian word with the restriction of the shift is a minimal  $G$ -flow. If  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a Sturmian word of slope  $\alpha$ , then for all  $y$  in the orbit closure of  $x$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x^{-1}(1) \cap \{-n, \dots, n\}|}{2n+1}$$

exists and equals  $\alpha$ . Similarly, if  $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a Sturmian word of slope  $\alpha$ , then for all  $y$  in the orbit closure of  $x$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|y^{-1}(1) \cap \{0, \dots, n-1\}|}{n}$$

exists and equals  $\alpha$ .

It follows that for different irrational numbers  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ , Sturmian words of slope  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have different (even disjoint) orbit closures. We call the orbit closure of a Sturmian word together with the restriction of  $S_G$  a *Sturmian subshift*. A Sturmian subshift is a  $G$ -flow.

Given a Sturmian subshift  $(X, S_G \upharpoonright X)$ , we denote the common slope of all Sturmian words that generate  $X$  by  $\alpha(X)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(X, S_G \upharpoonright X)$  be a Sturmian subshift and let  $p : \text{Fr}(G) \rightarrow \text{Clop}(X)$  be the homomorphism dual to the embedding of  $X$  into  $\{0, 1\}^G$ . Then  $\langle p(g_0) \rangle_G = \text{Clop}(X)$  and the type of  $p(g_0)$  determines  $\alpha(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a subspace of  $\{0, 1\}^G$ ,  $p$  is onto. Since  $\text{Fr}(G) = \langle g_0 \rangle_G$ ,  $\langle p(g_0) \rangle_G = \text{Clop}(X)$ . By Lemma 2.6, the type of  $p(g_0)$  determines the kernel  $p^{-1}(0)$ . But by standard Stone duality, the ideals of  $\text{Fr}(G)$  are in 1-1 correspondence to the subspaces of  $\{0, 1\}^G$ . It follows that the type of  $p(g_0)$  determines the subspace  $X$  of  $\{0, 1\}^G$  and hence the slope  $\alpha(X)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** In the context of Lemma 3.3 we call  $p(g_0)$  the *generator* of  $\text{Clop}(X)$  and denote it by  $g_X$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there is no metric  $G$ -flow that has all Sturmian subshifts as factors.*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a metric  $G$ -flow  $(X, f)$  such that every Sturmian subshift is a factor of  $(X, f)$ . By Anderson's result mentioned above, we may assume that  $X$  is zero-dimensional. Let  $(A, f^*)$  be the Stone dual of  $(X, f)$ . Then  $A$  is a countable Boolean algebra.

If a Sturmian subshift  $(Y, S_G \upharpoonright Y)$  is a factor of  $(X, f)$ , then there is an equivariant embedding of  $\text{Clop}(Y)$  into  $A$ . In particular,  $A$  has an element whose type is the same as the type of the generator  $g_Y$  of  $\text{Clop}(Y)$ .

Since there are uncountably many slopes of Sturmian words, by Lemma 3.3 there are uncountably many different types of generators of algebras of the form  $\text{Clop}(Y)$  where  $(Y, S_G \upharpoonright Y)$  is a Sturmian subshift. But since  $A$  is countable, its elements realize only countably many different types. A contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{N}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . The following classes of  $G$ -flows contain no universal elements:*

- (1) *Metric  $G$ -flows*
- (2) *Metric minimal  $G$ -flows*
- (3) *Metric abstract  $\omega$ -limit sets*

*Proof.* The corollary follows from the previous theorem together with the fact that all Sturmian subshifts are contained in each of the three classes of  $G$ -flows.  $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3.5 shows that no  $G$ -flow of weight less than  $2^{\aleph_0}$  has all Sturmian subshifts as factors. A universal minimal  $G$ -flow has a phase space that is a subspace of the Čech-Stone compactification of  $G$ . It follows that the weight of a universal minimal  $G$ -flow is at most  $2^{\aleph_0}$ . Since every Sturmian subshift is minimal, every Sturmian subshift is a factor of the universal minimal  $G$ -flow. Hence the weight of a universal minimal  $G$ -flow is exactly  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R.D. Anderson, *On raising flows and mappings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., Volume 69, Number 2 (1963), 259–264
- [2] R. Bowen,  *$\omega$ -Limit Sets for Axiom A Diffeomorphism*, Journal of Differential Equations **18** (1975), 333–339
- [3] R. Ellis, **Lectures on Topological Dynamics**, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., 1969. MR 42:2463
- [4] M. Morse and G.A. Hedlund, *Symbolic Dynamics II. Sturmian Trajectories*, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 62, No. 1 (1940), pp. 1–42

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAMBURG, BUNDESSTR. 55, 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* stefan.geschke@uni-hamburg.de